Indicator #	Key Indicator	Provider Comment	DDSN Response
A1-03	The Board / Provider has a Human Rights Committee that is composed of a minimum of 5 members and includes representation from a family member of a person receiving services, a person representing those receiving services or a self- advocate nominated by the local self-advocacy group, and a representative of the community with expertise or a demonstrated interest in the care and treatment of persons (employees or former employees must not be appointed).	Is it sufficient to have another Provider support HRC for an EI agency?	Yes.
A1-04	The Human Rights Committee will provide review of Board / Provider practices to assure that consumer's due process rights are protected. Guidance states: "Minutes shall be taken of each meeting and shall reflect the date and time of the meeting, those Committee members present and absent,	Concerns regarding the guidance for required documentation for each meeting (including meeting minutes). Our current practice: HRC members attend and participate in psychotropic drug reviews each month. The psychiatrist, pharmacist, and behavior specialist also attend allowing HRC members the opportunity to ask questions directly and raise any concerns they have. (The psychiatrist and pharmacist would not be available at the regular HRC monthly meeting.) This allows medications/medication changes to be reviewed	Please refer to DSN Directive 535-02-DD. This Directive does require bylaws for the conduct and operation of the HRC. These bylaws may address some of the questions. The Directive requires that minutes be taken of each meeting which reflect date/time, HRC members present and absent, discussions, etc.

	and a record of decisions and	immediately facilitating timely implementation of physician's	
	recommendations in a manner	orders. Minutes are not taken at the PDR meetings as there	
	that readily identifies the issues	is separate documentation for each individual participating in	
	reviewed, the decisions reached,	the review., however, having HRC members actually present	
	and the follow-up that is	during medication reviews and able to interact with the	
	necessary."	individual, family, doctor, pharmacist, behavior specialist,	
		etc. would seem to effectively meet the intent of HRC	
		reviews.	
		Please note that the psychotropic medication reviews are	
		held separately and on a different date/time from the	
		monthly HRC meeting which reviews BSP, restraints, abuse	
		allegations, and any other item needing review. Minutes are	
		taken at this meeting.	
		Questions:	
		What would constitute acceptable documentation of the	
		HRC review of psychotropic medications? Could we take	
		attendance of HRC members at PDR? Are we going to have to	
		start taking formal minutes of PDR? Could a member of HRC	
		read into the HRC monthly meeting minutes HRC members	
		participation in PDR and perhaps attach the schedule of	
		individuals seen?	
		Would this have to be presented again to HRC at the monthly	
		meeting? This seems like a duplication of HRC efforts and	
		increase the time commitments asked of HRC members.	
A1-04	The Human Rights Committee	What are the specific things that SCDDSN considers "due	DDSN Directive 535-02-DD outlines the
	will provide review of Board /	process" and what specifically will your be looking at? We	requirements including what should be
	Provider practices to assure that	want to ensure we have the appropriate documentation.	presented to the committee (case
	consumer's due process rights		presentations). Documentation should
	are protected.		support compliance with the Directive.

A1-06	Board / Provider demonstrates usage of the current incident management profile data report to: • evaluate provider specific trends over time • evaluate/explain why the provider specific rate is over, under or at the statewide average • demonstrate systemic actions to prevent future incidents/ allegations.	Please provide guidance regarding how we are to utilize data regarding Therap GER's? We currently look at our data (ANE, CI and Death reporting) annually based on the fiscal year. This has always met the standard in previous reviews. How does this play into "prior 12 month period". We certainly do not have time to meet this requirement and analyze all this data within 48 hours of being notified of a review and have the data reviewed by our various committees. I cannot locate GER information/data on the Portal. Or is just an assurance that the ANE's, CI's and Death reports have corresponding GER's?	As with prior reviews, Alliant will review documentation from the Provider's Risk Management Committee. At the provider level, the Risk Management Committee should review documentation related to ANE, CI, Death Reporting, medication errors, and reporting trends including falls, choking events, sepsis, aspiration, and bowel obstruction. Other trends for injuries and illness should also be reviewed to determine appropriate individual and systemic responses. This information is now available on an ongoing basis through Therap. ANE, CI and Death reports are available through provider reports in R2D2. Providers should not be waiting until right before their review to analyze this information. This information
A1-08	The Board/ Provider utilizes an approved curriculum or system for teaching and certifying staff to prevent and respond to disruptive behavior and crisis situations.	Does A1-08 apply to Early Intervention providers?	should be reviewed quarterly, per 100-26-DD. Yes.
A3-14	The Board /Provider employs Early Intervention Staff who meet the minimum education requirements for the position.	Can an official transcript be "emailed" instead of "snailmailed"?	Yes. The official transcript can be emailed.

WA-02	The plan includes Waiver	When WAD has to review and approve the content of the	Due to the SCDDSN Waiver Administration
R	service(s) name, frequency of	Plan, those indicators should not be recoupable against the	Division entering plan information, after
	the service(s), amount of	provider. Providers are no longer responsible for the "final"	10/30/17, SCDDSN will be held responsible for
	service(s), duration of service(s),	plan as it must be reviewed and APPROVED by	recoupment and citation of this indicator.
	and valid provider type for	SCDDSN. There have been times where we were told	Please note that this indicator will not be
	service(s).	something was wrong and it was not. I am sure this was a	calculated in the provider score. Data will be
		training curve but if we had changed as proposed, there	collected for Waiver Evidentiary Reporting
		could have been a problem. Please reconsider this	only.
		expectation.	
WA-07	The Plan identifies appropriate	When WAD has to review and approve the content of the	Due to the SCDDSN Waiver Administration
R	funding sources for	Plan, those indicators should not be recoupable against the	Division entering plan information, after
	services/interventions.	provider. Providers are no longer responsible for the "final"	10/30/17, SCDDSN will be held responsible for
		plan as it must be reviewed and APPROVED by	recoupment and citation of this indicator.
		SCDDSN. There have been times where we were told	Please note that this indicator will not be
		something was wrong and it was not. I am sure this was a	calculated in the provider score. Data will be
		training curve but if we had changed as proposed, there	collected for Waiver Evidentiary Reporting
		could have been a problem. Please reconsider this	only.
		expectation.	,
WA-38	Applies to all waivers. Effective	WA 38-40 addresses the new waiver timeline policy. It looks	DDSN has reviewed the comment and decided
	7/1/18, for individuals awarded a	like they could be addressed as one key indicator, not 3.	to measure the new waiver timelines in 3
	waiver slot within the review		different indicators.
	period, the waiver enrollment		
	timeline was followed to receive		
	the Freedom of Choice or the		
	Waiver Declination form or to		
	follow the Waiver Non-signature		
	Declination process.		

WA-39	Applies to all waivers. Effective 7/1/18, for individuals awarded a waiver slot within the review period, the waiver enrollment timeline was followed to request the Level of Care or to follow the Waiver Non-signature Declination process.	WA 38-40 addresses the new waiver timeline policy. It looks like they could be addressed as one key indicator, not 3.	DDSN has reviewed the comment and decided to measure the new waiver timelines in 3 different indicators.
WA-40	Applies to all waivers. Effective 7/1/18, for individuals awarded a waiver slot within the review period, the waiver enrollment timeline was completed to get the individual enrolled in the waiver.	WA 38-40 addresses the new waiver timeline policy. It looks like they could be addressed as one key indicator, not 3.	DDSN has reviewed the comment and decided to measure the new waiver timelines in 3 different indicators.
WCM-10	Contacts (other than the required monthly contact and required quarterly face to face contact) are recorded as NON- REPORTABLE on CDSS if the required monthly contact and/or quarterly face-to-face visit has not been completed during the month/quarter with the participant/family member, or if the required monthly contact/quarterly visit is not documented in the participant's record within seven (7) calendar days of completion.	Contacts (other than the required monthly contact and required quarterly face to face contact) are recorded as NON- REPORTABLE on CDSS if the required monthly contact and/or quarterly face-to-face visit has not been completed during the month/quarter with the participant/family member, or if the required monthly contact/quarterly visit is not documented in the participant's record within seven (7) calendar days of completion. Does this mean that if a monthly contact and/or quarterly face to face visit is entered late that none of the activities for that quarter could be considered billable? If a contact was made on the 3 rd and a quarterly f2f visit isn't scheduled until the 15 th how would you know for sure when	There is a note in the guidance for this indicator that "DDSN will announce dates of applicability- Currently not included in Contract Compliance Re7/31/2018July 31, 2018views".

		you entered the contact note whether or not the activity was billable since you don't know for sure that the scheduled face to face would occur. Would this require CMs to go through every case note to make sure they had not billed for anything during the month that a subsequent lack of activity negated?	
RS2-07	When psychotropic medication is given to address problem behavior that poses a significant risk to the person (i.e., selfinjury), others (i.e., physical aggression) or the environment (i.e., property destruction) a Behavior Support Plan that addresses the specific behaviors for which the medication is given must be present.	Question regarding the guidance: Guidance: A Behavior Support Plan (BSP) is not required when documentation/ data clearly indicates that the person is not exhibiting behavior that poses significant risk. A BSP is not required when evidence supports that the person has reached the lowest effective dosage based on data. Question: Does both caveats have to be present in order to not require a BSP?	Even if the person is not exhibiting behavior that poses a risk, a BSP is required until evidence supports that he/she has reached the lowest effective dosage.
General Questions	Weighted Indicators	Please explain what are weighted indicators	DDSN has designated a select group of indicators that may be weighted for a determination of minimum compliance. The indicators selected will not have a negative effect on Medicaid delivered services and any non-compliance must represent only a fraction of the overall effort. This may include one note or one form out of many.